

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/462,635	SCHMIDT ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeanine A Goldberg	1634	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jeanine A Goldberg.

**Status of Application: After First Action**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Debra Yellin.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 June 2003

**Time:** 10:00 am

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

J. Goldberg  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called to indicate that the only remaining issue in the applicant appeared to be a 112/2nd issue regarding step (iii) referring to categorizing as opposed to the newly amended "sorting." Additionally, the examiner indicated that a terminal disclaimer over 6,297,017 appeared to be appropriate and requested the submission of a TD. The applicant agreed. .